arXiv:1610.01510v1 [math.AT] 5 Oct 2016

A SOLVABLE COUNTEREXAMPLE TO THE HAMBLETON-TAYLOR-WILLIAMS CONJECTURE

JULIA SEMIKINA

Abstract. In [HTW] I. Hambleton, L. Taylor and B. Williams conjectured a general formula in spirit of H. Lenstra [Le] for the decomposition of $G_n(RG)$ for any finite group G and noetherian ring R. The conjectured decomposition was shown to hold for some large classes of finite groups. In [WeY] D. Webb and D. Yao discovered that the conjecture failed for the symmetric group S_5, but remarked that it still might be reasonable to expect the HTW-decomposition for solvable groups. In this paper we show that the solvable group $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$ is also a counterexample to the conjectured HTW-decomposition.

Introduction

In [Le] Lenstra obtained a beautiful explicit formula for the decomposition of Grothendieck group $G_0(RG)$ of the group ring RG for abelian group G and noetherian ring R. Namely, if $C(G)$ denotes the set of all cyclic quotients of G (isomorphic quotients coming from different subgroups of G are considered to be different), then

$$G_0(RG) \cong \bigoplus_{C\in C(G)} G_0\Big(R\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\xi_{|C|}, \frac{1}{|C|}]\Big),$$

where $|C|$ is the order of a cyclic group C, and $\xi_{|C|}$ is a primitive $|C|$-th root of unity. In [We1], [We2] Webb proved the same decomposition formula for $G_n(RG),\ n>0$ for abelian group G, and obtained decomposition formulas for $G_n(\mathbb{Z}G)$ for certain nonabelian groups G, in particular for dihedral and generalized quaternion groups [We3]. In [HTW] Hambleton, Taylor and Williams conjectured the general formula in spirit of Lenstra for the decomposition of $G_n(RG)$ for any finite group G and noetherian ring R. Their conjecture is consistent with all the previous results of Lenstra and Webb. Moreover it was shown to hold for finite nilpotent groups [HTW], [We4] and groups of square-free order [We5]. The conjecture was also proved for $G_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$, where G is a group of odd order having cyclic Sylow subgroups [LaWe].

In order to state the conjecture let G be a finite group, and let $\rho\colon G\to \mathrm{Aut}(V_\rho)$ be a rational irreducible representation of G. Then there is an associated division algebra $D_\rho = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}G}(V_\rho)$ and we have the following Wedderburn decomposition of the rational group algebra ([Se], p. 92)

$$\mathbb{Q}G \cong \prod_\rho M_{n_\rho}(D_\rho^{op}),$$

where ρ ranges over the set $X(G)$ of isomorphism classes of all rational irreducible representations of G.

For a such representation $\rho\colon G\to \mathrm{Aut}(V_\rho)$, let k_ρ be the order of the kernel of the representation ρ and let d_ρ be the dimension of any of the irreducible complex

Date: October 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19D99, 19B28, 20C10.

Key words and phrases. G-theory, group rings, maximal orders, Hambleton-Taylor-Williams Conjecture, special linear group.

constituents of $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V_\rho$. Let $\omega_\rho = \frac{|G|}{k_\rho d_\rho}$. We remark that ω_ρ is an integer. Indeed, the kernel of the irreducible rational representation ρ coincides with the kernel of any of the irreducible complex constituents of $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V_\rho$. Hence such a constituent is a complex irreducible representation of the quotient group $G/\ker\rho$. Therefore, the integrality of ω_ρ follows from the fact that the dimension of a complex irreducible representation of a group divides the order of a group ([Se], p. 52).

Let Λ_ρ be a maximal $\mathbb{Z}[1/\omega_\rho]$-order in D_ρ. Hambleton, Taylor and Williams conjectured the following decomposition formula, which we call HTW-decomposition.

Conjecture (Hambleton-Taylor-Williams)**.** *Let G be a finite group and R a noetherian ring. Then*

$$G_n(RG) \cong \bigoplus_{\rho \in X(G)} G_n(R \otimes \Lambda_\rho), \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

It turned out that in general this conjecture does not hold. Webb and Yao [WeY] showed that the formula failed for the symmetric group S_5, but remarked that it still might be reasonable to expect that the HTW-decomposition holds for solvable groups. In this paper we provide a solvable counterexample to the Hambleton-Taylor-Williams Conjecture.

Theorem 1. *The group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ does not satisfy the HTW-decomposition.*

To prove this we use the same source of contradiction as in [WeY], namely, the rank of $G_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$. For a finite group G we consider the following two numbers

- $R(G)$=the actual rank of $G_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ computed by Keating;
- $P(G)$=the rank of $G_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ predicted by the HTW-decomposition.

We start with an abstract description of $R(G)$ and $P(G)$ in Section 1. In Section 2 we apply this description to compute the difference $P(G) - R(G)$ for the solvable group $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$. The difference turns out to be non-zero and therefore we conclude that the group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ is a counterexample to the Hambleton-Taylor-Williams Conjecture.

Acknowledgments. This work is a part of the author's ongoing dissertation project at the University of Bonn, it is supported by Bonn International Graduate School. The author thanks her supervisor Wolfgang Lück for his helpful suggestions and advices.

1. The computation of ranks of G_1-groups

1.1. **The description of $R(G)$.** Let G be a finite group. An abelian group $G_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is completely determined by Keating [Ke]. To present Keating's formula for the rank of $G_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ we use the same notations as in the introduction. Namely, $\rho\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(V_\rho)$ denotes a rational irreducible representation of G, $D_\rho = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}G}(V_\rho)$ is the corresponding division algebra, and rational group algebra decomposes as $\mathbb{Q}G \cong \prod_{\rho \in X(G)} M_{n_\rho}(D_\rho^{op})$.

Theorem 2 (Keating's rank formula)**.** *Let Γ_ρ be the maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order in the center of D_ρ. Let r_ρ be the rank of group of units in Γ_ρ, and let v_ρ be the number of primes of Γ_ρ that divide $|G|$. Let ε be the number of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules annihilated by $|G|$. Then*

$$\mathrm{rank}\, G_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = \sum_{\rho \in X(G)} (r_\rho + v_\rho) - \varepsilon.$$

Denote by E_ρ the center of D_ρ. Obviously it is an algebraic number field and moreover $E_\rho/\mathbb{Q}$ is an abelian extension (see [BaRo, Lemma 2.8]). Denote by $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ the ring of algebraic integers in E_ρ (it is the maximal $\mathbb{Z}$-order in E_ρ). Since E_ρ is an abelian number field it is either totally real or totally imaginary. Let $m_\rho = [E_\rho : \mathbb{Q}]$. Then by Dirichlet's unit theorem the rank r_ρ of the group of units in $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ is equal to $\#\{\text{real embeddings of } E_\rho\} + \#\{\text{conjugate pairs of complex embeddings of } E_\rho\} - 1$.

Therefore

$$r_\rho = \begin{cases} m_\rho - 1, \text{ if } E_\rho \text{ is totally real,} \\ m_\rho/2 - 1, \text{ if } E_\rho \text{ is totally complex.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that

$$\varepsilon = \sum_{p|n} \#\{\text{isomorphism classes of simple } \mathbb{F}_pG\text{-modules}\},$$

where the sum ranges over all prime numbers that divide the order n of the group G. To compute the number of irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p$-representations of G explicitly we first establish some notation.

Definition 3. *An element of a group G is called p-regular if its order is not divisible by the prime number p.*

Denote by d the L.C.M. of orders of all p-regular elements in G. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $p^k = 1 \pmod{d}$. Denote by T the multiplicative group of exponents $\{p^i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ modulo d.

Definition 4. *Two p-regular elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$ are called $\mathbb{F}_p$-conjugate if $g_1^t = hg_2h^{-1}$ for some $t \in T$ and $h \in G$.*

Theorem 5 (Berman [Be])**.** *The number of irreducible representations of G over $\mathbb{F}_p$ equals the number of p-regular $\mathbb{F}_p$-conjugacy classes.*

Hence

$$\varepsilon = \sum_{p|n} \#\{p\text{-regular } \mathbb{F}_p\text{-conjugacy classes}\}.$$

Now we have very computable description of the summands appearing in Theorem 2 and we denote by $R(G)$ the rank of $G_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$. More precisely we have

$$R(G) = \sum_{\rho \in X(G)} (r_\rho + v_\rho) - \varepsilon.$$

1.2. **The description of $P(G)$.** In this subsection we are going to compute the rank of $G_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ as predicted by the Hambleton-Taylor-Williams Conjecture. If HTW-decomposition holds for a group G and any noetherian coefficient ring R, then taking $R = \mathbb{Z}$ gives

$$G_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \cong \bigoplus_{\rho \in X(G)} G_1(\Lambda_\rho)$$

and

$$\operatorname{rank} G_1(\mathbb{Z}G) = \sum_{\rho \in X(G)} \operatorname{rank} G_1(\Lambda_\rho).$$

Denote by $P(G)$ the rank of $G_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ that is predicted by the HTW-decomposition, i.e., $P(G) \coloneqq \sum_{\rho \in X(G)} \operatorname{rank} G_1(\Lambda_\rho)$. We are going to give an explicit description of $P(G)$ and compare it with the real rank $R(G)$ computed in the previous subsection. Obviously, if $P(G) \neq R(G)$, then the group G does not satisfy the HTW-decomposition.

For a rational irreducible representation ρ we determine the rank of $G_1(\Lambda_\rho)$ by applying the following theorem ([L], [SwEv]).

Theorem 6 (Lam)**.** *Let R be a Dedekind ring with quotient field K and let A be a separable semisimple K-algebra such that*

(i) *$R/\mathfrak{p}$ is finite for every non-zero prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$, and*
(ii) *if L is a finite separable field extension of K and S is the integral closure of R in L, then the class group $Cl(S)$ is a torsion group.*

Let $Z(A)$ be the center of A, $\tilde{R}$ be the integral closure of R in $Z(A)$, and Λ be an R-order in A. Then

$$G_1(\Lambda) \underset{mod\ torsion}{\cong} \tilde{R}^{\times}.$$

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/\omega_\rho]$, $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $A = D_\rho$. The prime ideals in the localization $\mathbb{Z}[1/\omega_\rho]$ are of the form $q\mathbb{Z}[1/\omega_\rho]$, where q is a prime integer that does not divide ω_ρ. Hence the first condition of Theorem 6 is satisfied, because

$$\mathbb{Z}[1/\omega_\rho]/q\mathbb{Z}[1/\omega_\rho] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})[1/\omega_\rho] \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}.$$

If L is an algebraic number field and $\mathcal{O}_L$ is a ring of algebraic integers in L, then the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}[1/\omega_\rho]$ in L is $\mathcal{O}_L[1/\omega_\rho]$. To check that the second condition of Theorem 6 holds it is enough to show that $Cl(\mathcal{O}_L[1/\omega_\rho])$ is finite. Recall that for a Dedekind ring $\mathcal{R}$ the ideal class group of $\mathcal{R}$ is given by the quotient $Cl(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{I}_\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{R}$ is the group of fractional ideals of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{R}$ is the subgroup of principal fractional ideals of $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\phi\colon \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \to \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}_L[1/\omega_\rho]}$ be a map sending a fractional ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_L$ to the fractional ideal $\mathcal{O}_L[1/\omega_\rho] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} I$ of $\mathcal{O}_L[1/\omega_\rho]$. This map is obviously a group homomorphism. Moreover ϕ takes principal fractional ideals of $\mathcal{O}_L$ to principal fractional ideals of $\mathcal{O}_L[1/\omega_\rho]$ and is surjective, since $\mathcal{O}_L$ and $\mathcal{O}_L[1/\omega_\rho]$ have the same field of fractions. Therefore ϕ induces a surjective group homomorphism

$$\bar{\phi}\colon Cl(\mathcal{O}_L) \twoheadrightarrow Cl\big(\mathcal{O}_L\Big[\frac{1}{\omega_\rho}\Big]\big).$$

Now finiteness of the group $Cl(\mathcal{O}_L[1/\omega_\rho])$ follows from the surjectivity of $\bar{\phi}$ and the classical result that the ideal class group of the ring of integers in an algebraic number field is finite.

The integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}[1/\omega_\rho]$ in $Z(D_\rho) = E_\rho$ is $\mathcal{O}_\rho[1/\omega_\rho]$. Therefore Theorem 6 implies

$$G_1(\Lambda_\rho) \underset{\text{mod torsion}}{\cong} \big(\mathcal{O}_\rho\Big[\frac{1}{\omega_\rho}\Big]\big)^{\times}.$$

To determine the rank of units in $\mathcal{O}_\rho[1/\omega_\rho]$ we use the S-unit theorem (see, for example, [Ne, p. 88]). Let us briefly recall its statement. Let L be an algebraic number field and let S be a finite set of prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}_L$. Define

$$\mathcal{O}_L(S) \coloneqq \{x \in L \mid ord_\mathfrak{p}(x) \geq 0, \text{ for all prime ideals } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}.$$

Define the group $U(S)$ of S-units to be

$$U(S) \coloneqq \big(\mathcal{O}_L(S)\big)^{\times} = \{x \in L \mid ord_\mathfrak{p}(x) = 0, \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}.$$

Theorem 7 (S-unit theorem)**.** *The group of S-units is finitely generated and*

$$\operatorname{rank} U(S) = \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{O}_L^{\times} + \#S.$$

Let S be the set of all prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ that divide ω_ρ. Then $\mathcal{O}_{E_\rho}(S) = \mathcal{O}_\rho[1/\omega_\rho]$ and $U(S) = \big(\mathcal{O}_\rho[1/\omega_\rho]\big)^{\times}$. Hence by Theorem 7

$$\operatorname{rank}\big(\mathcal{O}_\rho[1/\omega_\rho]\big)^{\times} = \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{O}_\rho^{\times} + \#\{\text{prime ideals in } \mathcal{O}_\rho \text{ that divide } \omega_\rho\}$$

and finally

$$\operatorname{rank} G_1(\Lambda_\rho) = r_\rho + \#\{\text{prime ideals in } \mathcal{O}_\rho \text{ that divide } \omega_\rho\}.$$

Let us denote by w_ρ the number of prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}_\rho$ that divide ω_ρ. Then

$$P(G) = \sum_{\rho \in X(G)} \operatorname{rank} G_1(\Lambda_\rho) = \sum_{\rho \in X(G)} \big(r_\rho + w_\rho\big).$$

2. A solvable counterexample

In this section we show that $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$, the group of 2×2 matrices with determinant 1 over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_3$, is a counterexample to the Hambleton-Taylor-Williams Conjecture by comparing $R(G)$ and $P(G)$ that were described in the previous section. Note that the order of the group G is 24.

For computation of $R(G)$ and $P(G)$ we need to know the table of complex irreducible characters of G. If ρ is an irreducible rational representation of G, then the center of the corresponding division algebra D_ρ appearing in the Wedderburn decomposition is isomorphic to the field of character values $\mathbb{Q}(\chi_\rho^{\mathbb{C}}) \coloneqq \mathbb{Q}(\chi_\rho^{\mathbb{C}}(g) \mid g \in G)$, where $\chi_\rho^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a character of any irreducible complex constituent of the complexification of the representation ρ (see [Je, Theorem 3.3.1]).

Denote by ξ a primitive cube root of unity. Complex irreducible characters of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ are well-known (see [Bo, p. 132]) and are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Character table of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$

repr.:	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}-1&0\\0&-1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&-1\\1&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}-1&1\\0&-1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&-1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}-1&-1\\0&-1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$	$\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$
size:	1	1	6	4	4	4	4	
order:	1	2	4	6	3	6	3	
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	$\mathbb{Q}$
χ_2	3	3	-1	0	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Q}$
χ_3	2	-2	0	1	-1	1	-1	$\mathbb{Q}$
χ_4	1	1	1	ξ	ξ	ξ^2	ξ^2	$\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$
χ_5	1	1	1	ξ^2	ξ^2	ξ	ξ	$\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$
χ_6	2	-2	1	ξ	$-\xi$	ξ^2	$-\xi^2$	$\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$
χ_7	2	-2	1	ξ^2	$-\xi^2$	ξ	$-\xi$	$\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$

From the character table we see that $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$ is the splitting field for the group G. The Galois group of the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)/\mathbb{Q}$ acts on the characters and permutes χ_4 with χ_5, and χ_6 with χ_7. Therefore we have 3 absolutely irreducible rational representations ρ_1, ρ_2, ρ_3 with characters χ_1, χ_2, χ_3, respectively; and 2 irreducible rational representations ρ_4, ρ_5, s.t. χ_4 is a character of one of the irreducible complex constituents of $\rho_4^{\mathbb{C}}$, and χ_6 is a character of one of the irreducible complex constituents of $\rho_5^{\mathbb{C}}$ (here $\rho^{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the complexification of a representation ρ).

The kernel of a representation ρ coincides with the kernel of the induced character $\ker \chi_\rho = \{g \in G \mid \chi_\rho(g) = \chi_\rho(e)\}$. Therefore we have the following list of centers E_ρ and values of ω_ρ's given in Table 2.

Table 2.

	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_3	ρ_4	ρ_5
E_ρ	$\mathbb{Q}$	$\mathbb{Q}$	$\mathbb{Q}$	$\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$	$\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$
$\mathcal{O}_\rho$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$	$\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$
$\omega_\rho = \frac{24}{\ker \chi_\rho^{\mathbb{C}} \ \dim \chi_\rho^{\mathbb{C}}}$	1	4	12	3	12
$v_\rho = \#\{\text{prime ideals in } \mathcal{O}_\rho \text{ that divide } 24\}$	2	2	2	2	2
$w_\rho = \#\{\text{prime ideals in } \mathcal{O}_\rho \text{ that divide } \omega_\rho\}$	0	1	2	1	2

For computation of numbers v_ρ and w_ρ in Table 2 we used the following theorem (for a more general statement see [Ne, p. 47]) to determine the number of prime ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ that divide 2 and 3.

Theorem 8. *Let α be an algebraic integer such that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is integrally closed, and let f be the minimal polynomial of α. Let p be a prime number and let*

$$f(x) = \prod_i f_i(x)^{e_i}$$

in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Then the prime ideals that lie above p in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ are precisely the ideals $(p, f_i(\alpha))$.

For $p = 3$ the minimal polynomial of ξ factors as $x^2 + x + 1 = (x-1)^2$ in $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$ and hence by Theorem 8 there is only one prime ideal above 3 in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$. For $p = 2$ the polynomial $x^2 + x + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ and hence there is exactly one prime ideal above 2 in $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$.

The last step is to determine ε appearing in the description of $R(G)$. For this we need to compute the number of p-regular $\mathbb{F}_p$-conjugacy classes for $p = 2, 3$.

For $p = 3$ there are 3 conjugacy classes of 3-regular elements, namely the classes with representatives $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix}-1&0\\0&-1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&-1\\1&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Since first two elements belong to the center of G and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&-1\\1&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)^3 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&-1\\1&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, these elements belong to 3 different $\mathbb{F}_3$-conjugacy classes.

For $p = 2$ there are 3 conjugacy classes of 2-regular elements, namely the classes with representatives $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&-1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Since $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)^2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&-1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ the elements $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&-1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ are $\mathbb{F}_2$-conjugated. Therefore there are two 2-regular $\mathbb{F}_2$-conjugacy classes. Finally

$$P(G) - R(G) = \sum_\rho (r_\rho + w_\rho) - \sum_\rho (r_\rho + v_\rho) + \varepsilon = \sum_\rho w_\rho - \sum_\rho v_\rho + \varepsilon = 6 - 10 + 5 = 1.$$

This shows that the actual rank of $G_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and the rank predicted by the HTW-conjecture do not coincide and $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ is a solvable counterexample to the conjectured formula. Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

3. Concluding remarks

In addition to what is done in Section 2 it is easy to compute both values $P(G), R(G)$ using the description provided in Section 1. For $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ these are $P(G) = 6, R(G) = 5$.

Using the computer algebra system GAP [GAP] we computed the difference $P(G) - R(G)$ for all finite groups of order less than 200. It turned out that all the groups that violate the condition $P(G) = R(G)$ (and are automatically counterexamples to the HTW-decomposition) have even order and satisfy $P(G) > R(G)$. Therefore it still seems reasonable to expect the Hambleton-Taylor-Williams Conjecture to be true for G a finite group of odd order. By the famous Feit-Thompson theorem [FeTh] every finite group of odd order is solvable. Therefore expecting the HTW-decomposition for groups of odd order we do not go beyond the class of solvable groups.

All finite groups of order less than 24 satisfy the HTW-conjecture, so $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ is the smallest counterexample.

If it is possible to correct the HTW-decomposition following the same pattern but choosing different numbers ω_ρ to be inverted, then these new numbers ω_ρ should satisfy the following relation

$$\sum_{\rho \in X(G)} \#\{\text{prime ideals in } \mathcal{O}_\rho \text{ that divide } \omega_\rho\} - \sum_{\rho \in X(G)} v_\rho + \varepsilon = 0.$$

References

[BaRo] L. Babai, L. Ronyai, Computing irreducible representationns of finite groups, Mathematics of computation, vol. 55, 192 (1990), pp. 705-722.

[Be] S. D. Berman, The number of irreducible representations of a finite group over an arbitrary field, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 106 (1956), pp. 67-76.

[Bo] C. Bonnafé, Representations of the group $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$, Algebra and Applications 13, Springer, London (2011).

[FeTh] W. Feit, J. G. Thompson, Solvability of groups of odd order, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 13 (1963), pp. 775-1029.

[HeRe] A. Heller, I. Reiner, Grothendieck groups of orders in semisimple algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1964), pp. 344-355.

[HTW] I. Hambleton, L. R. Taylor, E. B. Williams, On $G_n(RG)$ for G a finite nilpotent group, J. of Alg. 116 (1988), pp. 466-470.

[Je] E. Jespers, Orders and generic constructions of units, De Gruyter Textbook (2016).

[Ke] M. E. Keating, G_1 of an integral group ring, J. London Math. Soc. 14 (1976), pp. 148-152.

[Ku] A. Kuku, Representation Theory and Higher Algebraic K-Theory, Chapman and Hall, London (2007).

[L] T. Y. Lam, Induction theorems for Grothendieck groups and Whitehead groups of finite groups, Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup. 1 (1968), 91-148.

[LaWe] R. Laubenbacher, D. L. Webb, G_0 of integral group rings for groups with cyclic sylow subgroups, Contemporary Math 126 (1992), pp. 97-111..

[Le] H. W. Lenstra, Grothendieck groups of abelian group rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 20 (1981), pp. 173-193.

[Ne] J. Neukirch, Algebraic number theory, Springer, Berlin (1999).

[Se] J.-P. Serre, Linear representations of finite groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 42, Springer (1977).

[SwEv] R. G. Swan, E. G. Evans, K-theory of Finite Groups and Orders, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 149, Springer, Berlin (1970).

[We1] D. Webb, The Lenstra map on classifying spaces and G-theory of group rings, Invent. Math. 84 (1986), pp. 73-89.

[We2] D. Webb, Quillen G-theory of abelian group rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 39 (1986), no. 1-2, pp. 177-195.

[We3] D. Webb, Grothedieck groups of dihedral and quaternion group rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 35 (1985), pp. 197-223.

[We4] D. Webb, Higher G-theory of nilpotent group rings, J. Algebra 116 (1988), no. 2, pp. 457-465.

[We5] D. Webb, G-theory of Group Rings for Groups of Square-Free Order, K-Theory 1 (1987), pp. 417-422.

[WeY] D. Webb, D. Yao, A simple counterexample to the Hambleton-Taylor-Williams Conjecture, K-theory 7(6) (1993), pp. 575-578.

[GAP] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.5*; 2016, `(\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://www.gap-system.org}{http://www.gap-system.org})`.

Universität Bonn, Mathematisches Institut, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany

E-mail address: `juliasem@math.uni-bonn.de`